



TITLE:

# On some demonstrative embeddings into higher dimensional Thompson groups (Topology and Analysis of Discrete Groups and Hyperbolic Spaces)

AUTHOR(S):

Kato, Motoko

---

CITATION:

Kato, Motoko. On some demonstrative embeddings into higher dimensional Thompson groups (Topology and Analysis of Discrete Groups and Hyperbolic Spaces). 数理解析研究所講究録 2018, 2062: 88-93

ISSUE DATE:

2018-04

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/241872>

RIGHT:

# On some demonstrative embeddings into higher dimensional Thompson groups

Motoko Kato

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences,  
The University of Tokyo

## 1 Introduction

The Thompson group  $V$  is an infinite, simple and finitely presented group, described as a subgroup of the homeomorphism group of the Cantor set  $C$ . Brin [1] defined  $n$ -dimensional Thompson group  $nV$  for all natural number  $n \geq 1$ , where  $1V = V$ . Brin [1] showed that  $V$  and  $2V$  are not isomorphic. Bleak and Lanoue [3] showed  $n_1V$  and  $n_2V$  are isomorphic if and only if  $n_1 = n_2$ .

$V$  contains many groups, such as all finite groups and free groups, as its subgroups. The class of subgroups of  $V$  are closed under taking the direct product of finitely many members. However, the class is not closed under taking the free products. Bleak and Salazar-Díaz [4] proved that  $\mathbb{Z}^2 * \mathbb{Z}$  does not embed in  $V$ , although there are many embeddings of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  in  $V$ . They defined a class of well-behaved subgroups of  $V$ , demonstrative subgroups, and showed that the free product of two demonstrative subgroups can be embedded into  $V$ . It follows that any embedded  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  in  $V$  is not demonstrative.

Recently, Corwin and Haymaker [5] determined which right-angled Artin groups embed into  $V$ . Belk, Bleak and Matucci [2] showed that every right-angled Artin group and its finite extensions embed into  $nV$  with sufficiently large  $n$ .

In this paper, we consider embeddings of right-angled Coxeter groups into higher dimensional Thompson groups. It follows from the result of [2] that every right-angled Coxeter group embeds into some  $nV$ . We explicitly construct demonstrative embeddings of each right-angled Coxeter group into  $nV$ , where  $n$  is the number of “complementary edges” in the defining graph.

This work was supported by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.

## 2 Right-angled Artin groups and right-angled Coxeter groups

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite graph with a vertex set  $V(\Gamma) = \{v_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  and an edge set  $E(\Gamma)$ . Let

$$\bar{E}(\Gamma) = \{\{v_i, v_j\} \mid v_i \neq v_j \in V(\Gamma) \text{ are not connected by edges.}\}$$

We call the elements of  $\bar{E}(\Gamma)$  *complementary edges*.

The *right-angled Artin group* corresponding to  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $A_\Gamma$ , is a group defined by the presentation

$$A_\Gamma = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \mid g_i g_j = g_j g_i \text{ for all } \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(\Gamma) \rangle.$$

The *right-angled Coxeter group* corresponding to  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $W_\Gamma$ , is a group defined by the presentation

$$W_\Gamma = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \mid g_i^2 = 1, g_i g_j = g_j g_i \text{ for all } \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(\Gamma) \rangle.$$

For example,  $\mathbb{Z}^2 * \mathbb{Z}$  is a right-angled Artin group corresponding to the graph with three vertices and an edge.

To construct embeddings of free groups, the ping-pong lemma of F. Klein is known to be a useful tool. Besides the standard one, there is also the ping-pong lemma for right-angled Artin groups ([8]). It might be helpful to state a version for right-angled Coxeter groups here.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $W_\Gamma$  be a right-angled Coxeter group with generators  $\{g_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  acting on a set  $X$ . Suppose that there exist subsets  $S_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) of  $X$ , satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) *If  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ) commute, then  $g_i(S_j) = S_j$ .*
- (2) *If  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  do not commute, then  $g_i(S_j) \subset S_i$ .*
- (3) *There exists  $x_0 \in X - \bigcup_{i=1}^m S_i$  such that  $g_i(x_0) \in S_i$  for all  $i$ .*

*Then this action is faithful.*

*Proof.* In the following, we assume that the action is a left action. We identify words and the group elements. A prefix  $w_1$  for a word  $w$  is a subword such that  $w = w_1 w_2$  as words, for some subword  $w_2$ .

Let  $w$  be a nonempty reduced word of  $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ . We claim that  $w(x_0) \in S_j$  for some  $j$ , and  $w$  has a prefix of the form  $w_1 g_j$ , where  $w_1$  is either empty or a word of generators commuting with  $g_j$ .

We show the claim by induction on the length of  $w$ . The base case is ensured by the condition (3). We suppose that the claim holds true for reduced words with length less than  $l$ . Let  $w = g_k w'$  be a reduced word of length  $l$ . By the induction hypothesis, there is some  $j$  such that  $w'(x_0) \in S_j$ . There is a prefix for  $w'$  of the form  $w'_1 g_j$  where  $w'_1$  is either empty or a word of generators commuting with  $g_j$ .

We first consider the case where  $k \neq j$ . If  $g_k$  and  $g_j$  commute,  $w(x) = g_k w'(x) \in S_j$ , by condition (1). There is a prefix  $w_1 g_j$  for  $w$ , where  $w_1 = g_k w'_1$ . If  $g_k$  and  $g_j$  do not commute,  $w(x) = g_k w'(x) \in S_k$ , by condition (2). There is a prefix  $g_k$  of  $w$ .

Next we consider the case when  $k = j$ . However this case does not happen, because the reduced word  $w$  cannot have a prefix of the form  $g_j w'_1 g_j$ . Therefore, the claim holds true also in the case of  $|w| = l$ .

We have shown that  $w(x_0) \neq x_0$  for any nontrivial  $w \in W_\Gamma$ . Therefore, the action  $W_\Gamma$  on  $X$  is faithful.  $\square$

### 3 Demonstrative embeddings into higher dimensional Thompson groups

Now we focus on the Thompson group  $V$  and its generalizations. The subgroup structure of  $V$  is not well understood. It is known that  $V$  contains free groups and many free products of its subgroups. On the other hand, there is a nonembedding result on the free product of subgroups of  $V$ .

**Theorem 3.1** ([4], Theorem 1.5). *The group  $\mathbb{Z}^2 * \mathbb{Z}$  does not embed in  $V$ .*

This free product is the only obstruction for right-angled Artin groups to embed into  $V$ .

**Theorem 3.2** ([5]). *A right-angled Artin group  $A_\Gamma$  embeds into  $V$  if and only if  $\mathbb{Z}^2 * \mathbb{Z}$  does not embed into  $A_\Gamma$ .*

In the following, we consider embeddings of right-angled Artin groups and right-angled Coxeter groups into higher dimensional Thompson groups.

We describe the definition of higher dimensional Thompson groups with notations in [1]. The symbol  $I$  denotes the half-open interval  $[0, 1)$ . An  $n$ -dimensional rectangle is an affine copy of  $I^n$  in  $I^n$ , constructed by repeating “dyadic divisions”. An  $n$ -dimensional pattern is a finite set of  $n$ -dimensional rectangles, with pairwise disjoint, non-empty interiors and whose union is  $I^n$ . A numbered pattern is a pattern with a one-to-one correspondence to  $\{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ , where  $r$  is the number of rectangles in the pattern.

Let  $P = \{P_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq r-1}$  and  $Q = \{Q_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq r-1}$  be numbered patterns of the same dimension, containing the same number of rectangles in each. We define  $v(P, Q)$  to be a map from  $I^n$  to itself which takes each  $P_i$  onto  $Q_i$  affinely so as to preserve the orientation.

The  $n$ -dimensional Thompson group  $nV$  is the group which consists of maps with the form  $v(P, Q)$ , where  $P$  and  $Q$  are the  $n$ -dimensional numbered patterns. The definition of  $1V$  is equivalent to the definition of  $V$ .

**Theorem 3.3** ([2], Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3). *For every finite graph  $\Gamma$ , the right-angled Artin group  $A_\Gamma$  embeds into  $nV$ , where  $n = |V(\Gamma)| + |\bar{E}(\Gamma)|$ . Furthermore, every finite extension of  $A_\Gamma$  embeds into  $nV$ .*

By Theorem 3.3 and the fact that every right-angled Artin group is contained in some right-angled Coxeter group as a finite index subgroup [6], it follows that every right-angled Coxeter group embeds into some higher-dimensional Thompson group.

The following is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph with the vertex set  $V(\Gamma) = \{v_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ . Suppose that there are nonempty subsets  $\{D_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , such that  $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$  if and only if  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are connected by an edge.*

- (1) *The right-angled Artin group  $A_\Gamma$  embeds into  $nV$ .*
- (2) *The right-angled Coxeter group  $W_\Gamma$  embeds into  $nV$ .*

Compared to Theorem 3.3, we get a better estimate for the dimension of the Thompson groups which contain  $A_\Gamma$ . We construct embeddings of right-angled Coxeter groups into higher-dimensional Thompson groups explicitly.

For the proof of Theorem 3.4, we borrow some notations and a lemma from [7]. For a nonempty subset  $D$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , a  $D$ -slice of  $I^n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional rectangle  $S = \prod_{d=1}^n I_d$ , where  $d \in D$  if and only if  $I_d$  is properly contained in  $[0, 1)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *For nonempty subsets  $\{D_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , we may take a set of  $n$ -dimensional rectangles  $\{S_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  satisfying*

- (1) *For every  $i$ ,  $S_i$  is a  $D_i$ -slice of  $I^n$ .*
- (2)  *$S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$  if and only if  $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$ .*
- (3)  *$\bigcup_{i=1}^m S_i \subsetneq I^n$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* The proof for right-angled Artin groups is given in [7]. Here we state the proof only for right-angled Coxeter groups.

We take  $\{S_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  with respect to given  $\{D_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ , according to Lemma 3.5. Let  $g_i \in nV$  be a map which permute  $S_i$  and  $[0, 1]^n - S_i$ .

We may take  $g_i$  as to change  $d$ -th coordinate of  $[0, 1]^n$  only if  $d \in D_i$ . That is, when we write  $g_i(x) = g_i((x_d)_{1 \leq d \leq n}) = (g_{i,d}(x))_{1 \leq d \leq n}$ ,  $g_{i,d}(x) \neq x_d$  only if  $d \in D_i$ . With this assumption,  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  commute when  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are connected by an edge. Therefore, we may define a group homomorphism  $\phi : W(\Gamma) \rightarrow nV$  by  $\phi(v_i) = g_i$ . Here, we are using the same symbols for the vertices of  $\Gamma$  and the corresponding generators of  $W_\Gamma$ .

If  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ) commute, then  $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ . In this case,  $S_j$  is determined only by  $d$ -th coordinates for  $d \in D_j$ , which are unchanged by  $g_i$ . Therefore  $g_i(S_j) = S_j$ , and the condition (1) in Lemma 2.1 is satisfied.

If  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  do not commute,  $S_i$  and  $S_j$  are disjoint. Therefore,  $g_i(S_j) \subset g_i([0, 1]^n - S_i) \subset S_i$ , and the condition (2) in Lemma 2.1 is satisfied.

Condition (3) in Lemma 2.1 follows from the third assumption for  $\{S_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  in Lemma 3.5.  $\square$

We note that Theorem 3.4 does not give the best estimate for dimensions of the Thompson groups which contain  $W_\Gamma$ . For  $\Gamma$  with  $|E(\Gamma)| \geq 1$ , we need two or more dimensions to realize the conditions required in Theorem 3.4. On the other hand, many  $W_\Gamma$  with  $|E(\Gamma)| \geq 1$  can be embedded into  $V$ . The argument of demonstrative subgroups in [4] is useful to get examples of such embeddings.

Suppose that a group  $G$  acts on a space  $X$ . A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is *demonstrative over  $X$*  if there is an open set  $U \subset X$  so that for any two elements  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,  $g_1U \cap g_2U \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $g_1 = g_2$ . We call  $U$  a *demonstration set*.

By definition, there is a canonical action of  $V$  on the half open interval  $I$ . Instead of this action, sometimes we consider the action of  $V$  on the Cantor set  $C$ . We identify  $I$  with the Cantor set  $C$ : the dyadic division of  $I$  corresponds to trisecting the unit interval and then taking two of them to produce open sets of  $C$ .

There are demonstrative subgroups of  $V$  over  $C$ , isomorphic to all finite groups and  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The class of demonstrative subgroups of  $V$  over  $C$  is closed under taking subgroups, and taking the direct product of any finite member with any member.

There is an embedding result on the free product of demonstrative subgroups.

**Theorem 3.6** ([4], Theorem 1.4). *If groups  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are isomorphic to some demonstrative subgroups of  $V$  over  $C$ , then  $K_1 * K_2$  embeds in  $V$ .*

According to this result, for example, we may embed free products of finite groups such as a right-angled Coxeter group  $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{Z}_2$  into  $V$ .

**Remark 3.1.** We identify  $I$  with  $C$ , and consider the canonical action of  $nV$  on  $C^n$ . Theorem 3.4 gives demonstrative subgroups of  $nV$  over  $C^n$ . For each subgroup, any open set in  $C^n$  which corresponds to  $n$ -dimensional rectangles in  $[0, 1)^n - \cup_{i=1}^m S_i$  is the demonstration set.

## References

- [1] M. G. Brin, *Higher dimensional Thompson groups*, Geom. Dedicata, **108**, 163–192, 2004.
- [2] J. Belk, C. Bleak and F. Matucci, *Embedding right-angled Artin groups into Brin-Thompson groups*, preprint, arXiv:math/1602.08635.
- [3] C. Bleak and D. Lanoue, *A family of non-isomorphism results*, Geom. Dedicata, **146**, 21–26, 2010.
- [4] C. Bleak and O. Salazar-Díaz, *Free products in R. Thompson’s group  $V$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365.11**, 5967– 5997, 2013.
- [5] N. Corwin and K. Haymaker, *The graph structure of graph groups that are subgroups of Thompson’s group  $V$* , preprint, arXiv:math/1603.08433.
- [6] M. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, *Right-angled Artin groups are commensurable with right-angled Coxeter groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **153**, 229–235, 2000.
- [7] M. Kato, *Embeddings of right-angled Artin groups into higher dimensional Thompson groups*, preprint, arXiv:math/1611.06032.
- [8] T. Koberda, *Ping-pong lemmas with applications to geometry and topology*, IMS Lecture Notes, Singapore, 2012.